

#### **Board of Directors**

Deborah Cherry - Chair Genesee County Treasurer Kirk Smith – President & CEO Greater Flint Health Coalition

## Executive Committee

Thomas Svitkovich, Ed.D. - Vice-Chair

Peter Levine - Secretary Genesee County Medical Society

Donald Kooy - Treasurer

McLaren Regional Medical Cente Scott Kincaid

UAW/GM Community Health Initiative

Mary Smith
Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan

<u>Directors</u> Betsy Aderholdt Genesys Health System

Michael Boucree, M.D. Genesee County Medical Society

Mike Brown Flint Area Reinvestment Office

Carlos Cisneros Hispanic/Latino Community

Melvin Davis, Pastor Faith Access to Community Economic Development

Greg Eason City of Flint

Stuart Forsyth

Citizens Banking Corporation

Lisa Hagel

Genesee Intermediate School District

Bruce Hill HealthPlus of Michigan

Delrico Loyd

UAW Region 1-C

Duane Miller Genesee Regional Chamber of Commerce

Alan Napier

AFL-CIO John Northrup

Genesee County Board of Commissioners

Miles Owens

UAW Retirees

Clarence Pierce

Clarence Pierce
Hamilton Community Health Network

Julianne Princinsky, Ed.D. Baker College of Flint

Lawrence Reynolds, M.D.

Mott Children's Health Center

Danis Russell

Genesee County Community Mental Health

Richard Shaink, Ph.D.

Mott Community College

Steven Shapiro, D.O.

Genesee County Osteopathic Association

Michele Stinson

United Teachers of Flint/MEA

Sheryl Thompson

Department of Human Services

Genesee County Health Department

Gerard Voland, Ph.D. University of Michigan-Flint

Mark Valacak

Patrick Wardell

Hurley Medical Center

General Motors Corporation



# County Health Rankings Action Plan / Business Case Framework

A recommendation of the Greater Flint Health Coalition
Cost & Resource Planning Committee's Ad Hoc County Health Rankings
Workgroup to strategically improve the Health Behaviors and Health
Outcomes of Genesee County residents via coordinated,
community-wide action and advocacy.

## **Presented By**

Keith Edwards, Genesee Regional Chamber of Commerce Bruce Hill, HealthPlus of Michigan Pete Levine, Genesee County Medical Society Lawrence Reynolds, Mott Children's Health Center Kirk Smith, Greater Flint Health Coalition Mark Valacak, Genesee County Health Department Patrick Wardell, Hurley Medical Center

Adopted by the GFHC Board of Directors December, 2010

#### KEY ISSUE TO ADDRESS – HEALTH BEHAVIORS AND HEALTH OUTCOMES

## **County Health Rankings Report**

The County Health Rankings Report is an initiative of the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation and University of Wisconsin Public Health Institute to quantify the health of a community based on many factors affecting health, including the quality of healthcare, individual behavior, education, social and economic factors, and the built environment.

Genesee County Health Rankings		
RANKING (OUT OF 82)		
78 of 82		
79 of 82		
73 of 82		
81 of 82		
82 of 82		
21 of 82		
78 of 82		
75 of 82		

RANKING (OUT OF 82)
82 of 82
81 of 82
67 of 82
25 of 82
82 of 82

From a local perspective, this report highlights that health behaviors and health outcomes are critical issues to address in Genesee County, which ranks 78<sup>th</sup> of 82 in health outcomes and 82<sup>nd</sup> of 82 in health behaviors when compared to counties

across the State of Michigan. Compounding the issue, these rankings compare only counties within Michigan, which is currently ranked in the lower third of all States regarding coronary heart disease, adult obesity, infant mortality, and binge drinking. This makes Genesee County one of the unhealthiest counties in the entire nation.

#### Additional Data Relating to Genesee County's Health Status

In addition to the County Health Rankings Report, available data indicates poor health outcomes for Genesee County's population as mortality rates for leading causes of death such as heart disease, cancer, stroke, and diabetes far exceed State and national averages while significant racial disparities exist across all major disease states. Outcomes specifically linked to behavioral risk factors and preventable diseases are also astonishingly high as the smoking rate is 25.6% and the combined obesity/overweight rate is above 68%.

#### Influence of Health Behaviors and Potential Negative Impacts Without Action

Extensive research clearly indicates that 1) a large proportion of diseases and disorders are preventable and 2) modifiable health risk factors are precursors to increased morbidity and mortality rates. VI,VIII,VIII,IIIX,XIII Furthermore, many modifiable health risks are associated with increased healthcare costs even over short periods of time. XI,XIII,XIIII,XIIV In the absence of significant action, it is likely that Genesee County will continue to be an unhealthy community with poor health outcomes and a low quality of life while healthcare costs continue to rise.

Rising healthcare costs also present a burden for employers and businesses, as employers generally contribute approximately 77% of employee health insurance premiums.<sup>XV</sup> This also reduces community attractiveness, especially from an economic development perspective. Additionally, employees with higher rates of disease also have lower levels of productivity and higher rates of absenteeism, decreasing business efficiency.<sup>XVI,XVIII</sup> Without addressing health behaviors and chronic diseases, these burdens make Genesee County a less attractive business community.

## **Health Behaviors Identified as Key Issue to Address**

Health behaviors account for approximately 50% of an individual's health. This recognition provides the starting point for the GFHC to become a catalyst to improve health outcomes by increasing actions focused on health and health behaviors in compliment to the GFHC's track record of successful healthcare access, quality, and cost efforts, programs, and initiatives. xviii



**Medical Care** 

#### STRATEGY DEVELOPMENT

## **Goals To Achieve**

The Greater Flint Health Coalition (GFHC) will address the key issues of health behaviors and health outcomes through coordinated health improvement focused efforts based on the following goals:

- ➤ The GFHC, both collectively and through its broad-based member organizations, will become a catalyst for Genesee County/City of Flint to become a "Healthy Place to Live, Work, and Play" over the next 10 years.
- > Significantly improve both health behaviors and health outcomes on a community-wide level.

## **Focus Areas**

A community-wide plan of action should be developed focusing on key health and community issues to be addressed through the GFHC. This plan to improve health behaviors shall include the focus areas of physical activity, active living, nutrition and diet, smoking, breastfeeding of infants, the physical environment, neighborhood safety, and public transportation in order to improve health behaviors and health outcomes.

The target population will include residents of Genesee County and the City of Flint, and the settings identified to reach this population include the workplace, schools, and public places. This will be accomplished via targeted community-based interventions.

## <u>Activities Required to Achieve Positive Impact and Success</u>

Multiple strategies will be critical to achieving success and impact regarding GFHC led efforts. These strategies include the following:

- 1) Strong commitment, support, and engagement of GFHC Board member organizations;
- 2) Use of major employer groups and school systems to reach a critical mass of the general population;
- 3) Build on existing community resources and activities;
- 4) Activities must be systemic and focus on both short & long-term strategies, goals, and outcomes which should include structural changes;
- 5) Activities should be evidence-based and where possible consider other successful community-based health improvement models.

## **Strategy Outline**

## **FOCUS AREAS & ACTIVITIES GOALS TO ACHIEVE Anti-Smoking Physical activity Active Living IMPROVED HEALTH IMPROVED HEALTH OUTCOMES Nutrition and Diet BEHAVIORS Physical environment Neighborhood safety** Genesee County/City of Flint to become a **Public transportation** "Healthy Place to Live, Work, and Play" **Breastfeeding of Infants**

## **ACTION PLAN / BUSINESS CASE**

The GFHC will make use of both **short and long-term intervention strategies** to support targeted goals of becoming a catalyst for Genesee County/City of Flint to become a "healthy place to live, work, and play" over the next 10 years by significantly improving both health behaviors and health outcomes on a community-wide level. The intervention strategies and corresponding outcome objectives are described in detail, and where possible provide evidence-based support and cost-analysis.

## **SHORT-TERM INTERVENTION STRATEGIES (1-3 YEARS)**

The five short-term strategies described below represent interventions which the GFHC and its member organizations could begin developing and implementing within the next 1-3 years.

## **Workplace Wellness Initiatives**

With unhealthy lifestyles and modifiable risk factors accounting for 25% of employee healthcare expenditures, employers are uniquely positioned to benefit from improvement in health behaviors. It is well-documented that if effectively implemented workplace wellness programs provide benefits to both employees and employers through improved health outcomes and reduced medical costs. Meta-analyses reviewing up to 72 articles have concluded that health promotion programs achieve an average Return-On-Investment (ROI) of \$1.49 - \$3.48 per \$1.00 invested with employer cost-savings being demonstrated within 3-5 years of program implementation. XXII,XXIII,XXIII

These effective workplace interventions include various components such as: a health risk assessment, health education and promotion programs, individual nutrition and smoking cessation classes, financial incentives or discounts for employees, and campaigns/competitions to increase fitness activities and healthy lifestyles. xxv

Intervention	<b>Outcome Objectives</b>	Cost-Analysis	Action Required	
Collaboratively	Improve health	ROI of \$1.49 - \$3.48 per	GFHC Board support of	
implement workplace	behaviors and health	\$1.00 invested	Pursuing Initiative	
wellness strategies	outcomes	Approximate cost of	Engagement of Major	
within all GFHC member	Decrease employer	\$150 per employee to	Employers' Wellness	
organizations, starting	healthcare costs	implement programs	Coordinators to Develop	
with Major Employers*			Strategy	
	Improve employee	For 2500 employees,	Financial Support of	
	productivity	Investment of \$375,000	Employers to Implement	
<b>*</b> - · · · ·		can yield total net	Programs	
*Evidence-based		savings of up to		
		\$930,000		
	Target / Mea	sureable Outcome		
Increase Physical Activity rates and decrease Obese/Overweight Prevalence				
Increase the number of Genesee County Employers offering regular Workplace Wellness programming				
Insufficient Physical Activity (less than 4 times / week) Obese/Overweight Prevalence (BMI>25)				
Current Rate: 62	· -	Current Rate:	68%	
Target Goal: 50		Target Goal:	50%	
Target Date: TB	ט	Target Date:	TBD	

## **Community-Wide Campaigns to Promote Healthy Lifestyles**

In order to increase interest and awareness of healthy activities, community-wide campaigns can be used as a tool to engage the community and can be broadly targeted to the general population or to a specific at-risk group. Regarding a general population initiative, the GFHC has previous experience through its *Just a Bit Gets You/Youth Fit* campaign implemented from 2000-2003. This effort was designed to increase physical activity levels among Genesee County's most sedentary individuals by providing its "101 Easy Ways to Better Health." This was successful in decreasing sedentary lifestyles, and has since been replicated in multiple other communities nationwide. \*\*xvi

Shape up Somerville was a targeted intervention in Somerville, Massachusetts, which focused on childhood obesity prevention by engaging children, parents, families, and community members in various before school, during school, after school, home, and community activities designed to improve the health behaviors of children. This community-based environmental change intervention showed statistically significant decreases of BMI in children at high risk for obesity. XXVIII

Intervention	<b>Outcome Objectives</b>	<b>Cost-Analysis</b>	Action Required	
Community-based Health	Improve Health	Implementation would	Three-fold GFHC Board	
Improvement Campaign*	Behaviors (including	involve significant grant	support: 1) Supporting the	
	among most sedentary)	funding and require	pursuance of grant funds	
	through increased	leveraged media	2) Supporting awareness and	
	awareness and interest	coverage to support	involvement in any potential	
	in healthy lifestyle	program activities	initiative or program	
*Evidence-based	activity		3) Leadership by example	
	Target / Measureable Outcome			
Increase Physical Activity rates and decrease Obese/Overweight Prevalence				
,	Insufficient Physical Activity (less than 4 times / week) Obese/Overweight Prevalence (BMI>25)			
Current Rate: 62		Current Rate:	68%	
Target Goal: 50		Target Goal:	50%	
Target Date: TB	D	Target Date:	TBD	

## **Support of Smoke-Free Workplaces and Campuses**

While many organizations in Genesee County have gone "smoke free," widespread implementation of this as cross-organizational policy has the potential for increased impact and reduction of smoking behaviors. Establishment of this policy has been shown to decrease smoking rates by 3.8% while also reducing the incidence of cardiovascular disease such as myocardial infarctions. It is also estimated that the impact of reduced smoking rates and improved cardiovascular health would result in small cost savings. \*\*\*xxx\*\*

Intervention	<b>Outcome Objectives</b>	Cost-Analysis	<b>Action Required</b>	
Institution of smoke-free	Reduce smoking	Minimal	GFHC Board support of	
workplaces in all GFHC	behavior	Implementation Cost	Pursuing Initiative	
member organizations*	Improve cardiovascular	Approximate long-term	Engagement of GFHC Member	
	health outcomes	cost savings of \$1 per	organizations to institute	
	Reduce Healthcare Costs	employee	smoke-free workplace and	
*Evidence-based			campus policies	
Target / Measureable Outcome				
Decrease Smoking Prevalence				
Increase the number of Genesee County Employers enforcing Smoke-Free Campuses				
Current Rate: 2	25.6% Target Goal:	20% (National average)	Target Date: TBD	

## **Coordination of Existing & Future Health Improvement Activities**

Many programs exist in the community with a focus on health, physical activity, and healthy eating through community-based organizations such as the Crim Fitness Foundation, Genesee Intermediate School District, and others. However, to date many of these efforts are neither coordinated nor community-wide, limiting impact. Through the GFHC structure and advocacy of Board leadership, it is possible to require community coordination, in turn maximizing collaboration, thus increasing the impact of health improvement efforts by supporting existing programs and cooperatively pursuing new opportunities and grants from a community perspective.

Intervention	<b>Outcome Objectives</b>	Cost-Analysis	Action Required	
Re-development of GFHC	Improve Coordination	Implementation	GFHC Board mandate to	
Health Improvement/	Support to participating	involves GFHC Staff time	support coordination via	
Health Outcomes	organizations	and partner	Health Improvement/ Health	
Committee to catalog &	Increase healthy	participation	Outcomes Committee in order	
coordinate community	behaviors and healthy	Data dial facility and	to increase collaboration	
efforts; Membership will	lifestyles	Potential for increased	which will maximize impact	
be based upon	Improve Health	resources and grant	via reduced "silo" based	
community leadership	Outcomes	funding due to	efforts	
and expertise with public	Reduce Healthcare Costs	coordination		
health / behavior efforts				
Target / Measureable Outcome				
Improve Community-wide Coordination of Health Behaviors / Health Outcomes Initiatives				
Current Status: Unmonitored / Unmeasured / Uncoordinated				
Target Goal: Coordinated, Cataloged, Measurable Community Action via GFHC Leadership Support				

## **Community Support of Infant Breastfeeding**

Research has well-documented that breastfeeding children provides many benefits, perhaps most significantly a lower risk for both diabetes and obesity. The CDC Guide to Breastfeeding Interventions provides a review of evidence-based interventions, which include maternity care practices, educating mothers, professional support, and breastfeeding friendly policies, while additional research demonstrates cost-savings for infants who are breastfeed. To support increased awareness of these evidence-based care practices and their benefits, local physicians, hospitals, and employers will need to consistently promote breastfeeding to the community residents. Support should be established through community education, local providers, and employers who can consider adopting policies that support breastfeeding.

Intervention	<b>Outcome Objectives</b>	Cost-Analysis	Action Required	
Engagement of Local	Increase breastfeeding	Potential healthcare	GFHC Board support	
Providers and Employers to Promote Increased Awareness regarding the Benefits of Breastfeeding and Implementation of Breastfeeding friendly Policies*	Decrease prevalence of pediatric obesity and diabetes	system cost-savings of \$331 per infant when comparing breastfed infant versus non- breastfed infant in first 3 months after birth	Implementation involves Commitment from local Physician Champions, Hospitals, and Employers	
*Evidence-based				
Target / Measureable Outcome				
Increase Breastfeeding Rates (to Healthy People 2010 Objectives)				
At Discharge (WIC Participants): Current Rate = 41% Target Rate = 75% Target Date = TBD				
At Six Months (WIC Participants): Current Rate = 8% Target Rate = 50% Target Date = TBD				

## **LONG-TERM INTERVENTION STRATEGIES (10-20 YEARS)**

The three long-term strategies described below represent interventions which the GFHC and its member organizations will begin planning for with a focus on a 10-20 year anticipated impact.

## Structural Change to Healthcare Delivery Reimbursement Models

One key concept which is becoming increasingly relevant in today's healthcare system is the need to change from a fee-for-service based model to a pay-for-performance outcomes based model. This will be supported by various ongoing healthcare initiatives including the increased development of patient-centered medical homes and the implementation of the *Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act*.

Reforming the healthcare delivery finance system is one opportunity to do this. A local insurer-led pilot for redistribution of a to-be-established small percentage of healthcare insurance premiums to be reinvested for health behavior and prevention program sustainability rather than service utilization is a key opportunity. While cost-effectiveness has been demonstrated for certain disease states and behaviors such as smoking cessation and congestive heart failure, additional research and cost-analysis is required to fully determine the impact of this reform on insurers, providers, and patients. XXXXX,XXXXVI It is requested that the GFHC Cost & Resource Planning Committee address this issue to determine its feasibility and sustainability. HealthPlus of Michigan, Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, and Blue Care Network will be asked to commit to developing and piloting these behavior change incentive models in the Genesee County community via the GFHC member base.

Intervention	<b>Outcome Objectives</b>	Cost-Analysis	Action Required
Plan, Develop, and	Improve Health	Changes in health	Commitment from HealthPlus,
Implement structurally	Behaviors and Outcomes	outcomes clearly	BCBSM, and BCN to develop
different health		demonstrate reductions	and pilot behavior change
insurance payment		in healthcare costs,	models in Genesee County
structure to invest in	Reduce Healthcare Costs	however, payment	GFHC Board to charge GFHC
healthy lifestyles and		structures such as this	Cost & Resource Planning
wellness activities		have not been fully	Committee to develop
		examined	payment structure model to
			implement for Genesee
			County beneficiaries
	Target / Mea	sureable Outcome	
TBD Payer Reinvestment into Community Prevention and Health Behavior Focused Initiatives			
Outcome: TBD Pilot in Genesee County to Exhibit Cost Savings achieved from Payer Reinvestment			

## Impact/Influence on Local School Curriculums Regarding Nutrition and Physical Activity Requirements

Regarding the health of children, local schools have a tremendous impact through both the type of food that is offered to students and the amount of physical activity that is required for students. This impact provides an excellent opportunity to ensure that school curriculums encourage children to be healthy.

One such initiative, entitled *Project Health Schools*, has encouraged the adoption of healthy habits by incorporating health screenings, motivational assemblies, parent communication, healthier cafeteria options, and reward opportunities for students. Through these activities this project has effectively decreased cholesterol and triglyceride levels while increasing fruit consumption and exercise. \*\*xxxviii\*\*

Intervention	<b>Outcome Objectives</b>	Cost-Analysis	Action Required
Comprehensively Review current school policies, practices, and curriculum via the Genesee Intermediate School District and identify	Increased physical activity in schools  All school menu plans revised to increase daily consumption of fruits and vegetables, reduce	The cost-analyses of such policy changes would be determined on a case-by-case basis	GFHC Board request to develop a Memorandum of Understanding with the GISD to create healthier school policies and curriculum by completing a health-based
opportunities to improve health through long-term policy change in local school systems*	salt, support portion control for Genesee County students Improved Outcomes for Genesee County		policy and practice initiative that results in a detailed implementation plan
*Evidence-based	children		
	Target / Meas	sureable Outcome	
Increase Physical Activity rates and decrease Obese/Overweight Prevalence in school-aged children			
	y (less than 4 times / week)	Obese/Overweight Prev	,
Current Rate: 62	· ·	Current Rate:	68%
Target Goal: 50		Target Goal:	50%
Target Date: TB	ט	Target Date:	TBD

## Environmental Infrastructure Regarding Land Re-Development, Public Transit, Safety, and Housing

Environmental and policy approaches promoting physical activity are important to consider in combination with lifestyle modification strategies because they provide a benefit to all people exposed to the environment rather than focusing on specific individuals, patients, or target groups. xxxviii,xxxix Furthermore, issues of safety, public transit, and poor housing quality are most prevalent in disadvantaged populations with high levels of risk for poor health behaviors and outcomes. Current evidence notes that urban design and land use policies can be effective in increasing levels of physical activity and that policy and environmental approaches to increasing physical activity and improving health can play a key role in improving the health of communities. xI

As land re-development efforts in Genesee County continue, it is requested that healthcare stakeholders be actively integrated into the efforts and development of future land use and urban planning to ensure that the inclusion of policies on healthy lifestyles and health outcomes is strongly considered. This may include revitalization and/or support of land areas which promote health such as parks and gardens as well as non-motorized transit.

Intervention	<b>Outcome Objectives</b>	<b>Cost-Analysis</b>	Action Required		
Healthcare stakeholders	Improve Health	The cost-analyses of	GFHC Board demand active		
be Actively involved in	Behaviors through	such interventions	representation of public health		
planning and	increased integration of	would be determined on	and healthcare stakeholders in		
infrastructure (s) focused	communities that	a case-by-case basis	future policy and land-use		
on Land Use and Urban	support active living by		decision-making bodies.		
Planning*	design allowing		GFHC Board support to Pursue		
	increased population		Land Use and Urban Planning		
	interest in healthy		Opportunities and Projects		
	lifestyle activity		with Local Partners		
	Improve Health				
	Outcomes				
*Evidence-based	Reduce Healthcare Costs				
	Target / Measureable Outcome				
Active Health Stakeholder Involvement and Representation in Land/City Planning Activities					

Health stakeholders episodically involved in city/county planning & land re-development

Activities.

Active participation in all city, township, village, county planning, and land re-development Target Goal:

activities, including participation in development of City of Flint Master Plan. Target outcome is a built environment that supports active living, supporting consistent availability of healthy

places to live, work, and play.

## **CALL TO ACTION**

As outlined throughout the Action Plan / Business Case, there are specific action steps which require feedback, commitment, and buy-in from influential community leaders prior to planning and/or implementation. This begins with the GFHC Board of Directors and its member organizations. It is clear that the GFHC's Board leadership is necessary to implement real change regarding the issue of health behaviors and health outcomes and programs, policies, and practices that create an impact. Discussion and engagement around these recommended activities and actions is crucial in order to strengthen GFHC Board ownership, which will in turn maximize the impact of these efforts. Once ownership is established, a public and private, institution-based commitment will be necessary. This will require Board members to ensure their own organization and staff consistently participates in and adheres to the "community approach" of short and long-term strategies and commitments. In addition, each Board member will be asked to participate in action and campaigns in order to conceptually "LEAD BY EXAMPLE."

The outcome of discussion around these specific activities and actions will ideally begin the development of both short-term (1-3 years) and long-term (10-20 years) priorities, goals, and tactics to strategically address the critical issue of health behaviors and health outcomes in Genesee County. Based on GFHC Board participation and consensus, a balanced "Top Five Priorities" to make Genesee County a "Healthy Place to Live, Work, and Play" will serve as the deliberate action-based focus to improve the community's Health Status / Health Behaviors.

These recommended "Top Five Priorities" for Genesee County Health Improvement are:

- 1. Increase Physical Activity rates and decrease Obese/Overweight Prevalence
- 2. Decrease Smoking Prevalence
- 3. Increase Healthy Food Access to improve Nutrition and Diet
- 4. Increase Breastfeeding Rates
- 5. Active Healthcare Stakeholder Involvement and Representation in Land/City Planning Activities that Improve Genesee County's Physical Environment, Safety, and Transportation Capacity

It is further recommended the Board authorize the GFHC and community to move forward with planning and community-wide implementation of each targeted initiative. Board representatives will need to designate high-level staff to participate and coordinate within their organization. The GFHC's re-established Health Improvement/Health Outcomes Steering Committee will be the body accountable to the Board to carry out this Call to Action over the short and long-term periods specified.

<sup>1</sup> University of Wisconsin Population Health Institute. County Health Rankings 2010.

- "Characteristics of the Uninsured and Individuals with Select Insurance Coverage in Michigan. MDCH Report, June 2009.
- MDCH. 2007 Michigan Resident Death File. Division for Vital Records & Health Statistics, Michigan Department of Community Health Publication, 2008.
- <sup>IV</sup> USDHHS, MDCH. Combined 2006-2008 Behavioral and Risk Factor Survey. USDHHS (CDC) and Michigan Department of Community Health Publication, 2008.
- <sup>v</sup> Fussman, C., Rafferty, A. Health Risk Behaviors in the State of Michigan: 2008 Behavioral Risk Factor Survey. Lansing, MI: Michigan Department of Community Health, Bureau of Epidemiology, Chronic Disease. Epidemiology Section. 2010.
- vi U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2010: Understanding and Improving Health. 2nd ed. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office, November 2000.
- vii Amler, R. W., Dull, H. B. Closing the gap: The burden of unnecessary illness. The Carter Center of Emory University. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*. 1987; 3.
- viii Breslow, L., Breslow, N. Health practices and disability: some evidence from Alame. Preventive Medicine. 1993; 1:86-95.
- Foege, W., McGinnies, M. Actual Causes of Death in the United States. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 1993; 270(18):2207-2212.
- \* Mokdad, A., Marks, J. Stroup, D., Gerberding, J. Actual Causes of Death in the United States, 2000. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 2004; 291:1238-1245.
- xi Edington, D. W. and Yen, L. (1992) Is it possible to simultaneously reduce risk factors and excess health care costs? *American Journal of Health Promotion*. 1992; 6:403–406.
- xii Goetzel, R.Z., Jacobson, B.H., Aldana, S.G., Vardell, K., Yee, L. Health Care Costs of Worksite Health Promotion Participants and Non-Participants. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 1998; 40:4.
- Anderson, I. M., Nutt, D. J. & Deakin, J. F. W. Evidence-based guidelines for treating depressive disorders with antidepressants: a revision of the 1993 British Association for Psychopharmacology guidelines. *Journal of Psychopharmacology*. 2000; 14(1):3-20.
- xiv Pronk, N., Goodman, M., O'Conner, P., & Martinson,B. Relationship between modifiable health risks and short-term health care charges. Journal of the American Medical Association. 1999; 282:2235–2239.
- xv Hartman, M., A. Martin, O. Nuccio. Health Spending Growth at A Historic Low in 2008, Health Affairs, January 2010.
- <sup>xvi</sup> Burton, W., Chen, C., Conti, D., Schlutz, A. Edington, D. The association between health risk change and presenteeism change. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*. 2006; 48:252-263.
- <sup>xvii</sup> Loeppke, R., Nicholson, S., Taitel, M., Sweeney, M., Haufle, V., Kessler, R. The impact of an integrated population health enhancement and disease management program on employee health risk, health conditions, and productivity. *Population Health Management*. 2008; 11(6):287-296
- Laframboise, H. Factors affecting health. Canadian Medical Association Journal, 1973.
- xiix Anderson, D. Whitmer, R., Goetzel, R., Ozminkowski, R., Wasserman, J., Serxner S. Health Enhancement Research Organization Committee. *American Journal of Health Promotion.* 2000; 15(1):45-52.
- <sup>xx</sup> Goetzel, R., Ozminkowski, R., Bruno, J., Rutter, K., Isaac, F., Wang, S. The long-term impact of Johnson and Johnson's health and wellness program on employee health risks. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*. 2002; 44(5): pp 417-424.
- ixii Baicker, K., Cutler, D., Song, Z. Workplace wellness programs can generate savings. *Health Affairs*. 2010; 29(2):1-8.
- xxii Goetzel, R., Juday, T., Ozminkowski, R. AWHP's Worksite Health, 1999.
- <sup>xxiii</sup> Goetzel, R. *Absolute Advantage*. Washington D.C.: Wellness Councils of America. 1(8); 2002.
- xxiv Aldana, S. American Journal of Health Promotion. 1999; 14(1):31-43.
- xxv Naydeck, Pearson, Ozminkowski, Day, Goetzel. The impact of the highmark employee wellness programs on four-year healthcare costs. Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine. 2008; 50:2.
- university of Michigan Prevention Research Center. Speak to Your Health! Community Survey. 2003.
- Economos, C. Hyatt, R. Goldber, J. Must, A. Naumova, E. Collins, J. Nelson, M. A community intervention reduces BMI z-score in children: Shape up Somerville first year results. *Obesity*. 2007; 15(5):1325-1336.
- xxviii Ong, M., Glantz, S. Cardiovascular health and economic effects of smoke-free workplaces. *American Journal of Medicine*. 2004; 117:32-38. xxix Fitchenberg, C., Glantz, S. Effect of smoke-free workplaces on smoking behavior: Systematic review. *British Journal of Medicine*. 2002; 325:188.
- ong, M., Glantz, S. Cardiovascular health and economic effects of smoke-free workplaces. *American Journal of Medicine*. 2004; 117:32-38. Owen, C., Martin, R., Whincup, P. Does breastfeeding infants influence risk of type 2 diabetes later in life? A quantitative analysis of published evidence. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 2006; 84(5):1043-1053.
- Arenz, S., Ruckerl, R., Koletzko, B., von Kries, R. Breastfeeding and childhood obesity a systematic review. *International Journal of Obesity Related Metabolic Disorders.* 2004; 28:1247-1256.
- xxxiii Shealy, K., Li, R., Benton-Davis, S., Grummer-Strawn, L. <u>The CDC guide to breastfeeding interventions</u>. Atlanta: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2005.
- xxxiiv Ball, Wright. Healthcare costs of formula-feeding in the first year of life. *Pediatrics*. 1999; 103(4)870-876.
- cromwell, J., Bartosch, W., Fiore, M., Hasselblad, V., Baker, T. Cost-effectiveness of the clinical practice recommendations in the AHCPR quideline for smoking cessation. JAMA 1997; 278(21):1759-1766.
- <sup>xxxvi</sup> Chan, D., Heidenreich, P., Weinstein, M., Fonarow, G. Heart failure disease management programs: A cost-effectiveness analysis. *American Heart Journal*. 2008; 155(2):332-338.
- xxxvii DuRussel-Weston, J. Project Healthy Schools. Presented at National Initiative for Children's Healthcare Quality Childhood Obesity Congress Sessions. March, 2010.
- xxxii King, A., Stokols, D., Talen, E., Brassington, G.S., Killingsworth, R. Theoretical approaches to the promotion of physical activity: Forging a transdisciplinary paradigm. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*. 2002; 23(2):15-25.
- <sup>xl</sup> Task Force on Community Preventive Services. Introducing the Guide to Community Preventive Services: Methods, first recommendations, and expert commentary. American Journal of Preventive Medicine.